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Problem M. 1 (20') Plane strain.
Consider an anisotropic elastic medium with elastic stiffness tensor $C_{i j k l}$ under plane strain deformation. This means that the $z$-component of the displacement field is zero everywhere. The displacement fields in $x$ and $y$ directions are also independent of $z$. Mathematically, this can be written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{3} & =0  \tag{1}\\
u_{1,3} & =0  \tag{2}\\
u_{2,3} & =0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) What are the non-zero components of the strain field? What are the non-zero components of the stress field?
(b) In 2-dimension, the Hooke's law can be expressed as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=c_{i j k l} e_{k l} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices now only goes from 1 to 2 . What is the expression of $c_{i j k l}$ in terms of $C_{i j k l}$ ?
(c) Suppose the medium is subjected to body force $b_{j}(j=1,2)$, which is independent of $z$. What is the equilibrium condition in terms of the displacement fields $u_{j}$ ?

## Solution:

(a) Since $u_{3}=0$ for all $z$, then $u_{3,1}=u_{3,2}=u_{3,3}=0$. In combination with $u_{1,3}=0$ and $u_{2,3}=0$ we have $e_{33}=e_{13}=e_{23}=0$ and the non-zero components of the strain are $e_{11}, e_{12}$, and $e_{22}$. For a generally anisotropic material, all of the stresses can be related to the strains, thus none of the stresses are necessarily zero. For isotropic material, $\sigma_{13}=\sigma_{23}=0$. The non-zero stress components are $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{33}$.
(b) Since whenever $k=3$ or $l=3, e_{k l}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=C_{i j k l} e_{k l} \quad \text { for } i, j, k, l=1,2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i j k l}=C_{i j k l} \quad \text { for } i, j, k, l=1,2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) The equations of equilibrium can be derived just as in the notes, no change necessary

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i j k l} u_{k, i l}+b_{j}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem M. 2 (10') Green's function in 2D.
(a) What is the equilibrium equation for the Green's function $G_{i j}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ in terms of $c_{i j k l}$, where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ are 2-dimensional vectors? Notice that a point force in 2 D corresponds to a line force in 3D.
(b) Solve the Green's function in Fourier space, i.e. $g_{i j}(\mathbf{k})$. Again $\mathbf{k}$ is a 2-dimensional vector.
(c) Solve the Green's function in real space $G_{i j}(\mathbf{x})$. Express the result in terms of $x$ and $\theta$, where $x_{1}=x \cos \theta, x_{2}=x \sin \theta$. The final result can be expressed in terms of an integral over a unit circle.
Hint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-i k x}}{|k|} \mathrm{d} k=-2 \ln |x| \quad(\text { up to a constant }) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solution:

(a) We can derive the equilibrium for the Green's function just as in the notes, however noting that the vector $\mathbf{x}$ is a 2 -dimensional vector and the delta function is a 2 -dimensional delta function:

$$
c_{i m n s} G_{i j, s m}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{n j} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

(b) Note that here all of the vectors are 2-dimensional, and thus we only need to take two dimensional fourier transforms. Define 2-dimensional Fourier transform and its inverse as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i j}(\mathbf{k}) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) G_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\
G_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) g_{i j}(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k} \\
1 & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \\
\delta(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging in these definitions into the above equilibrium equations gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(c_{i m n s} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{m}} g_{i j}(\mathbf{k})+\delta_{n j}\right) \exp (i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(-c_{i m n s} z_{m} z_{s} k^{2} g_{i j}(\mathbf{k})+\delta_{n j}\right) \exp (i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n j} & =c_{i m n s} z_{m} z_{s} k^{2} g_{i j}(\mathbf{k}) \\
& =(z z)_{n i} k^{2} g_{i j}(\mathbf{k})
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
(z z)_{n i} \equiv c_{i m n s} z_{m} z_{s}
$$

The solution for the Green's function in 2-dimension is

$$
g_{i j}(\mathbf{k})=\frac{(z z)_{i j}^{-1}}{k^{2}}
$$

(c) Taking the inverse Fourier transform,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \frac{(z z)_{i j}^{-1}}{k^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp (-i k x \cos \theta) \frac{(z z)_{i j}^{-1}}{k^{2}} k \mathrm{~d} k \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp (-i k x \cos \theta) \frac{(z z)_{i j}^{-1}}{|k|} \mathrm{d} k \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1}(-2 \ln |x \cos \theta|) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} \ln |x \cos \theta| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1}(\ln x+\ln |\cos \theta|) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =C \ln x+\Phi\left(\theta_{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} d \theta \\
\Phi\left(\theta_{0}\right) & =-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} \ln |\cos \theta| d \theta \\
x & =|\mathbf{x}| \\
\mathbf{x} & =\left(x \cos \theta_{0}, x \sin \theta_{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Problem M. 3 (30') Inclusion in 2D.
Consider an elliptic inclusion in the 2D medium that can occupies the area,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x_{1}}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{b}\right)^{2} \leq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let its eigenstrain be $e_{i j}^{*}(i, j=1,2)$. Define Eshelby's tensor $\mathcal{S}_{i j k l}$ and auxiliary tensor $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$ similarly as in the lecture, but with $i, j, k, l=1,2$.
(a) Show that $\mathcal{S}_{i j k l}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$ are constants inside the inclusion (use anisotropic elasticity).
(b) What is $c_{i j k l}$ in terms of $\mu$ and $\nu$ in isotropic elasticity?
(c) Derive the expressions for $\mathcal{S}_{i j k l}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$ for a circular inclusion in an isotropic medium (plane strain).

## Solution:

(a)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x}) & =\int_{V_{0}} G_{i j, k l}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d V\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int_{V_{0}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{l}}\left[\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int \exp \left[-i \mathbf{k} \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right] \frac{(z z)_{i j}^{-1}}{k^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{k}\right] \mathrm{d} V\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{V_{0}} \int \exp \left[-i \mathbf{k} \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right](z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{k} \mathrm{~d} V\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} Q(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \int_{V_{0}} \exp \left(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d V\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\lambda} & \equiv\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1} a, k_{2} b\right), \quad \lambda=|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| \\
\mathbf{R} & \equiv\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} / a, x_{2} / b\right), \quad R=|\mathbf{R}| \\
\gamma & =(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) / k=(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{R}) / k \\
\beta & =\lambda / k \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(\mathbf{k}) & \equiv \int_{V_{0}} \exp \left(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d V\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =a b \int_{|\mathbf{R}| \leq 1} \exp (i \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{R}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{R} \\
& =a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} R \exp (i \lambda R \cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} R \\
& =2 \pi a b \int_{0}^{1} R J_{0}(\lambda R) \mathrm{d} R \\
& =2 \pi a b \frac{J_{1}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x}) & =-\frac{a b}{2 \pi} \int \exp (-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \frac{J_{1}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k} \\
& =-\frac{a b}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-i k \gamma)(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \frac{J_{1}(k \beta)}{k \beta} k \mathrm{~d} k \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =-\frac{a b}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \kappa(\gamma) \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa(\gamma) & =\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-i k \gamma) J_{1}(k \beta) \mathrm{d} k \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{i \gamma}{\sqrt{\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivations in Eq. (16) and (17) are carried out by Mathematica, whose outputs are given at the end of this solution for reference. Notice that $D_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x})$ is real. Since $(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l}$ is also real, the imaginary part of $\kappa(\gamma)$ can be neglected. Therefore, as long as $\beta>|\gamma|$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(\gamma)=\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of $\gamma$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x})$ is independent of $\mathbf{x} . \beta>|\gamma|$ is satisfied if $\mathbf{x}$ is within the inclusion. This can be shown by the following. If $\mathbf{x}$ is inside the ellipse, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x_{1}}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{b}\right)^{2}=R_{1}^{2}+R_{2}^{2}<1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means $R<1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma|=|\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{R}| / k \leq|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| \cdot|\mathbf{R}| / k=\lambda R / k<\lambda / k=\beta \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The isotropic stiffness tensor for plane strain is

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i j k l} & =\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \mu \nu}{1-2 \nu} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
& =\mu\left(\frac{2 \nu}{1-2 \nu} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) We have shown that inside an elliptic inclusion of an isotropic medium

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x})=-\frac{a b}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

For a circular inclusion, $a=b$, then $\beta=a$ and $\mathcal{D}$ becomes

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x})=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(z z)_{i j}^{-1} z_{k} z_{l} \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i j k l} & =\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
(z z)_{i j} & =\mu \delta_{i j}+(\lambda+\mu) z_{i} z_{j} \\
(z z)_{i j}^{-1} & =\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\delta_{i j}-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu} z_{i} z_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} z_{i} z_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}(\mathbf{x})=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\mu}\left(\delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} z_{i} z_{j}\right) z_{k} z_{l} \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

Notice that $z_{1}=\cos \theta$ and $z_{2}=\sin \theta, \mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$ can be evaluated explicitly. Let us define

$$
H_{k l} \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi} z_{k} z_{l} \mathrm{~d} \theta
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i j k l} \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi} z_{i} z_{j} z_{k} z_{l} \mathrm{~d} \theta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only non-zero elements of $H_{k l}$ are $H_{11}$ and $H_{22}$, i.e.,

$$
H_{k l}=\delta_{k l} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\pi \delta_{k l}
$$

Similarly $J_{i j k l}$ is non-zero only when all four indices are the same or they come in pairs.

$$
J_{1111}=J_{2222}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{4} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\frac{3 \pi}{4}
$$

$$
J_{1122}=J_{2211}=J_{1212}=J_{2121}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta=\frac{\pi}{4}
$$

therefore

$$
J_{i j k l}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi \mu}\left(\delta_{i j} H_{k l}-\frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} J_{i j k l}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi \mu}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \pi-\frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{16 \mu(1-\nu)}\left((8-8 \nu) \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}-\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}-\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{16 \mu(1-\nu)}\left((7-8 \nu) \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}-\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{i j m n} & =-\frac{1}{2} c_{l k m n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{i k l j}+\mathcal{D}_{j k l i}\right) \\
& =-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{i k k j} \delta_{m n}-\mu\left(\mathcal{D}_{i n m j}+\mathcal{D}_{j n m i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{D}_{i k k j}$ can be evaluated by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i k k j}=-\frac{1}{16 \mu(1-\nu)}\left((7-8 \nu) \delta_{i k} \delta_{k j}-\delta_{i k} \delta_{k j}-\delta_{i j} \delta_{k k}\right)
$$

Note, that now in two dimensions, $\delta_{k k}=2$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{i k k j} & =-\frac{1}{16 \mu(1-\nu)}\left((7-8 \nu) \delta_{i j} \delta_{k j}-\delta_{i j}-2 \delta_{i j}\right) \\
& =-\frac{(4-8 \nu)}{16 \mu(1-\nu)} \delta_{i j} \\
\lambda & =\frac{2 \mu \nu}{1-2 \nu} \\
\lambda \mathcal{D}_{i k k j} & =-\frac{\nu}{2(1-\nu)} \delta_{i j} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{i j m n} & =\frac{\nu}{2(1-\nu)} \delta_{i j} \delta_{m n}+\frac{1}{16(1-\nu)}\left((6-8 \nu)\left(\delta_{i n} \delta_{j m}+\delta_{j n} \delta_{i m}\right)-2 \delta_{i j} \delta_{m n}\right) \\
& =\frac{4 \nu-1}{8(1-\nu)} \delta_{i j} \delta_{m n}+\frac{3-4 \nu}{8(1-\nu)}\left(\delta_{i n} \delta_{j m}+\delta_{j n} \delta_{i m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Mathematica:

```
In[1]:= Assuming[{L>0,R>0}, Integrate[Exp[I*L*R*Cos[Theta]],{Theta,0,2*Pi}]]
Out[1]= 2 Pi BesselJ[0, L R]
In[2]:= Assuming[ {L>0}, Integrate[ R*BesselJ[0,L*R], {R,0,1}] ]
    BesselJ[1, L]
Out [2]= -------------
        L
In[3]:= Assuming[{b>0,g>0}, Integrate[Exp[-I*k*g]*BesselJ[1,k*b],{k,0,Infinity}]]
    g
    1
        ---------------
        Sqrt[-b + g]
Out [3]=
        =-----------------
        b
```

Problem M. 4 (20') Void in 2D.
Consider a 2D isotropic medium (plain strain) containing a circular void with radius $a$, with a uniform loading $\sigma_{22}^{A}$.
(a) What is the eigenstrain $e_{i j}^{*}$ of the equivalent inclusion?
(b) Determine the location in the matrix where the maximum stress $\sigma_{22}^{\max }$ is reached. The stress concentration factor SCF is defined as $\sigma_{22}^{\max } / \sigma_{22}^{A}$. Determine SCF for a circular void.

## Solution:

(a) To solve this problem, we require the stress inside the inclusion to be zero, ie

$$
\sigma_{i j}^{A}+\sigma_{i j}^{I}=\sigma_{i j}^{A}+\sigma_{i j}^{c}-\sigma_{i j}^{*}=0
$$

For a uniform applied stress $\sigma_{22}^{A}$ the equations reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{22}^{A}+\sigma_{22}^{c}-\sigma_{22}^{*} & =0 \\
\sigma_{11}^{c}-\sigma_{11}^{*} & =0 \\
\sigma_{12}^{c}-\sigma_{12}^{*} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the material is isotropic, the shear terms are completely decoupled, thus we can choose $e_{12}^{*}=0$ which means that $\sigma_{12}^{*}=\sigma_{12}^{c}=0$. The problem now becomes a "simple" problem of two equations and two unknowns ( $e_{11}$ and $e_{22}$ ).

Let us first express the stress $\sigma_{i j}^{c}-\sigma_{i j}^{*}$ in terms of $e_{m n}^{*}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i j}^{c}-\sigma_{i j}^{*} & =\left(c_{i j k l} \mathcal{S}_{k l m n}-c_{i j m n}\right) e_{m n}^{*} \\
& =c_{i j k l}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k l m n}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k m} \delta_{l n}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k n} \delta_{l m}\right) e_{m n}^{*} \\
& =\left[\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)\right]\left[\frac{4 \nu-1}{8(1-\nu)} \delta_{k l} \delta_{m n}-\frac{1}{8(1-\nu)}\left(\delta_{k m} \delta_{l n}+\delta_{k n} \delta_{l m}\right)\right] e_{m n}^{*} \\
& =-\frac{\mu}{4(1-\nu)}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{m n}+\delta_{i m} \delta_{j n}+\delta_{i n} \delta_{j m}\right) e_{m n}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

This allows us to write the two equations of this problem as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sigma_{22}^{A} & =-\frac{3 \mu}{4(1-\nu)} e_{22}^{*}-\frac{\mu}{4(1-\nu)} e_{11}^{*} \\
0 & =-\frac{3 \mu}{4(1-\nu)} e_{11}^{*}-\frac{\mu}{4(1-\nu)} e_{22}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the second equation gives

$$
e_{11}^{*}=-\frac{1}{3} e_{22}^{*}
$$

Plugging this result into the first equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{22}^{*} & =\frac{3}{2} \frac{1-\nu}{\mu} \sigma_{22}^{A} \\
e_{11}^{*} & =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\nu}{\mu} \sigma_{22}^{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $\sigma_{11}^{*}$ and $\sigma_{22}^{*}$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{11}^{*}=(\lambda+2 \mu) e_{11}^{*}+\lambda e_{22}^{*} \\
& \sigma_{22}^{*}=(\lambda+2 \mu) e_{22}^{*}+\lambda e_{11}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

which results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{11}^{*}=\frac{(4 \nu-1)(1-\nu)}{1-2 \nu} \sigma_{22}^{A} \\
& \sigma_{22}^{*}=\frac{(4 \nu-3)(1-\nu)}{1-2 \nu} \sigma_{22}^{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The solution can be obtained by computing the jump of the stress over the interface. Since the stress inside the void is zero, the total jump in the stress should be equal to the stress at the inside surface of the matrix. The jump in the stress is

$$
\left[\left[\sigma_{i j}\right]\right]=\sigma_{i j}^{*}-c_{i j k l}(n n)_{k m}^{-1} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{n} n_{l}
$$

First, lets write the jump in the constrained field $\left[\left[\sigma_{i j}^{c}\right]\right]$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[\sigma_{i j}^{c}\right]\right] } & =-c_{i j k l}(n n)_{k m}^{-1} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{n} n_{l} \\
& =-\left[\frac{2 \mu \nu}{1-2 \nu} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\delta_{k m}-\frac{1}{2(1-\nu)} n_{k} n_{m}\right] \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{n} n_{l} \\
& =-\left[\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \delta_{i j} n_{m} n_{n}+\delta_{i m} n_{n} n_{j}+\delta_{j m} n_{n} n_{i}-\frac{1}{1-\nu} n_{i} n_{j} n_{m} n_{n}\right] \sigma_{m n}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total jump in stress is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[\sigma_{i j}\right]\right] } & =\sigma_{i j}^{*}+\left[\left[\sigma_{i j}^{c}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[\delta_{i m} \delta_{j n}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \delta_{i j} n_{m} n_{n}-\delta_{i m} n_{n} n_{j}-\delta_{j m} n_{n} n_{i}+\frac{1}{1-\nu} n_{i} n_{j} n_{m} n_{n}\right] \sigma_{m n}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

For this problem, we need to compute the SCF which is only dependent on $\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right] } & =\left(\delta_{2 m} \delta_{2 n}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \delta_{22} n_{m} n_{n}-\delta_{2 m} n_{n} n_{2}-\delta_{2 m} n_{n} n_{2}+\frac{1}{1-\nu} n_{2} n_{2} n_{m} n_{n}\right) \sigma_{m n}^{*} \\
& =\sigma_{22}^{*}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{m} n_{n}-2 \sigma_{2 n}^{*} n_{n} n_{2}+\frac{1}{1-\nu} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{m} n_{n} n_{2} n_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because only $\sigma_{11}^{*}$ and $\sigma_{22}^{*}$ are non-zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right] } & =\sigma_{22}^{*}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{m} n_{n}-2 \sigma_{22}^{*} n_{2} n_{2}+\frac{1}{1-\nu} \sigma_{m n}^{*} n_{m} n_{n} n_{2} n_{2} \\
& =\sigma_{22}^{*}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu}\left(\sigma_{11}^{*} n_{1} n_{1}+\sigma_{22}^{*} n_{2} n_{2}\right)-2 \sigma_{22}^{*} n_{2} n_{2}+\frac{1}{1-\nu}\left(\sigma_{11}^{*} n_{1} n_{1}+\sigma_{22}^{*} n_{2} n_{2}\right) n_{2} n_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n_{1}=\cos \theta$ and $n_{2}=\sin \theta$, the above expression can be greatly simplified,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right] } & =\left(4 \cos ^{4} \theta-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \sigma_{22}^{A}=f(\theta) \sigma_{22}^{A} \\
f(\theta) & \equiv 4 \cos ^{4} \theta-\cos ^{2} \theta \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right]$ is independent of $\nu$.
As shown in the figure, the maximum of $f(\theta)$ occurs at $\theta=0$, with $f(0)=3$. Therefore, the stress concentration factor is $\mathrm{SCF}=\sigma_{22}^{\max } / \sigma_{22}^{A}=3$.


Figure 1: Stress jump $\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right]$ as a function of $\theta . f(\theta)=\left[\left[\sigma_{22}\right]\right] / \sigma_{22}^{A}=4 \cos ^{4} \theta-\cos ^{2} \theta$.

